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 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

**BACKGROUND**

Article 7 of the State Constitution and chapter 84.52 RCW give school districts authority to levy local property taxes. The voters of the school district must approve such levies. School district levies are of four fund types:

 **Excess general fund levies** are one- to four-year levies used for day-to-day operations of the school. Such levies are also known as “maintenance and operation” (M&O) levies.

 **Debt service fund levies** are multi-year levies used to pay principal and interest on general obligation bonds sold to finance school construction and remodeling. Voter approval of a bond issue authorizes the sale of bonds and the levy of taxes over the life of the bonds, which is often 15 to 30 years. Tax revenues are deposited in the debt service fund.

 **Transportation vehicle fund levies** are one- or two-year levies used to pay for school buses or other school transportation needs.

 **Capital project fund levies** are one- to six-year levies used to pay for school construction or remodeling.

School districts may run a levy for a particular fund only two times in a calendar year. Unsuccessful levies may be resubmitted in subsequent years.

**Local effort assistance** is state money paid to eligible districts to match excess general fund levies. These payments help school districts with above-average tax rates due to low property valuations.

**LEVY HISTORY 1975–2016**

Tables and graphs on pages 1–6 summarize school levy history since 1975. Tables 1–3 summarize excess general fund levies. Table 4 summarizes bond issue election results. Table 5 summarizes levy authority, rollbacks, and local effort assistance history.

**Table 1** - The number of districts passing 2016 excess general fund levies increased by one, to 287 districts. One district tried but failed to pass a levy. The total dollar amount of approved levies is $2.406 billion.

**Table 2** - The statewide average excess general fund levy rate per $1,000 decreased from $2.60 the prior year to $2.51. The levy per student increased from $2,239 in the prior year to $2,298.

**Table 3** - This table displays excess general fund levies as a percent of total school district revenues. The percent decreased from 19.38 percent in 2014–15 to 18.31 percent in 2015–16.

**Table 4** - Bond issue levy submissions and approvals decreased from $4.393 billion in submissions the prior year to $2.762 billion and increased from $1.611 billion in approvals the prior year to $1.696 billion.

**Table 5** - Levy authority increased 5.0 percent from $2.603 billion in the prior year to $2.735 billion. The number of districts eligible for local effort assistance (LEA) increased by two to 217 and the eligible amount increased from $368 million to $387 million. The districts receiving LEA increased by five to 212, and the amount received increased 5.0 percent from $365 million to $384 million.

**LEVY ELECTION RESULTS**

The Report 1463 series shows detailed election results for the four fund types.

**Comments on Report 1463-GF**

Report 1463-GF shows results of elections held in 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019 for excess general fund levies collectible in 2016.

Voter approval requires a majority of “yes” votes.

The number of districts submitting levies was 288. The number of districts with successful levies was 287. The number of districts not submitting an excess general fund levy to the voters was seven.

Levy election data for these reports came from county auditors’ reports. The tax rate shown in “dollars per thousand” was an estimate when the election was held. Actual tax rates are shown in Report 2010.

**Comments on Report 1463-BI**

Report 1463-BI shows the results of bond issue elections held in 2015. Voter approval of a bond issue permits the school district to issue general obligation bonds, which will be repaid by debt service fund levies made over the life of the bonds. For example, a bond issue approved in 2015 may result in debt service levies in calendar years 2016 through 2041.

Voter approval requires at least 60 percent “yes” votes and total votes of at least 40 percent of votes cast in the last general election.

Bond issues totaling $2.762 billion were submitted and $1.696 billion (55 percent) received voter approval. Of the 42 districts submitting bond issues, 23 districts (61 percent) were successful.

**Comments on Report 1463-TVF**

Report 1463-TVF shows the results of transportation vehicle fund levy elections held in 2015.

Transportation vehicle fund levies are deposited in the transportation vehicle fund and used for purchase and repair of pupil transportation vehicles (mostly school buses). Voter approval requires a majority of “yes” votes.

In 2015, two districts submitted transportation vehicle fund levies totaling $3.8 million. One district passed a levy for $400 thousand for collection in 2016.

**Comments on Report 1463-CP**

Report 1463-CP shows the results of capital project levy elections held in 2015 for collection in 2016 through 2021.

Capital project levies must be used for construction, modernization, or remodeling of school facilities, costs associated with implementing technology systems, major equipment repair, painting of facilities, and other major preventive maintenance purposes. Capital project levies for up to six years may be approved at a single election. Voter approval requires a majority of “yes” votes.

In 2015, 19 districts submitted capital project levies totaling $34 million. Seventeen districts passed levies totaling $32 million for collection in 2016 through 2021.

**ACTUAL 2016 LEVIES BY FUND**

Report 2010 shows actual tax rates and levy amounts certified for collection in 2016 for all four types of levies. The state average and highest tax rates are as follows:

 General Debt Transport. Capital

 Total Fund Service Vehicle Projects

Average Tax Rate ($/1,000) $4.13 $2.51 $1.16 $0.00 $0.46

Highest Tax Rate ($/1,000) $9.05 $9.05 $3.21 $0.31 $2.54

The tax rates shown on this report are actual rates used by county assessors as reported to the Department of Revenue. Certified levy amounts are those certified to county commissioners in the fall of 2015 for collection in calendar year 2016.

Total excess general fund levies certified for collections in 2016 are $33 million less than the amount approved by voters as shown in Report 1463-GF. Differences are shown by district on attached Report 2030. Most of the difference is due to the levy lid that limits the dollar amount of general fund levies.

Tax rates and certified levy amounts for debt service levies are those calculated by county assessors for collection in 2016 for payment of principal and interest on outstanding bonds. Certified 2016 debt service fund levies include levies approved by voters prior to 2015 as well as those shown in Report 1463-BI.

**SUPPLEMENTAL EXCESS GENERAL FUND LEVY INFORMATION**

**Comments on Report 1061**

Report 1061 shows assessed valuations and tax rates for 2016 excess general fund levies as reported by county assessors to the Department of Revenue (DOR).[[1]](#footnote-1) Certified levy amounts were reported to the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) by educational service districts (ESDs). Additional columns provide analysis of levy valuations and certified levies per full-time equivalent (FTE) student.

SUMMARY Avg. for Avg. Dists. -------------Range-------------

 All Districts with Levies High Low

Tax Rate ($/1,000) $2.51 $2.51 $9.05 $0.29\*

Levy Valuation Per Pupil \*\*\* $915,967 $915,636 $8,521,686 $56,279 \*\*

Certified Levy Per Pupil \*\*\* $2,298 $2,298 $8,465 $63 \*

 \* Range for districts with levies.

 \*\* Range for all districts.

 \*\*\* Pupils are measured in terms of full-time equivalents.

**Comments on Report 2030**

Report 2030 shows how the levy lid law (RCW 84.52.0531) affected 2016 levy collections. Thirty-one districts had to reduce or “rollback” 2016 levies by a total of $30.6 million due to the levy lid. Fourteen districts voluntarily rolled back their levy by an additional $2.2 million.

The middle two columns on Report 2030 show each school district’s levy authority percent and actual levy percent. The levy authority percent for 205 districts is 28 percent. There are 90 districts that have been grandfathered at a levy authority between 28 and 38 percent.

The actual levy percent is calculated by adding the district’s certified levy and LEA allocation and dividing the result by the district’s levy base (adjusted for transfers). About 99 percent of all students reside in school districts levying 10 percent or more of their levy base. About 90 percent of all students reside in school districts levying more than 20 percent of their levy base. About 31 percent of all students reside in school districts levying more than 28 percent of their levy base.

Report 2030 also shows 2016 local effort assistance. LEA, also known as levy equalization, is state matching money paid to eligible districts. To be eligible, a district must have a tax rate for a hypothetical 14 percent levy in excess of the statewide average 14 percent levy rate. (This rate is $1.552/1,000 in 2016.) In determining 14 percent levy rates, assessed values are adjusted to 100 percent of market value using the county-indicated ratios determined by the DOR. The last column on Report 2030 shows each district’s 14 percent levy rate. For the 2016 calendar year, local effort assistance allocations are funded at 100 percent of the formula amount.

Of the state’s 295 school districts as of the 2015–16 school year, 217 are eligible for LEA in 2016. Of the 217 eligible districts, 196 receive the maximum LEA. Sixteen eligible district assessed less than $1.552/1,000 and therefore qualify for only a portion of the maximum possible matching money. Eight eligible districts did not pass an excess general fund levy and therefore receive no LEA.

**QUESTIONS**

Questions relating to Report 1463 may be directed to Jackie Hansman at 360-725‑6111. All other questions should be directed to Melissa Jarmon at 360-725‑6307. The agency TTY number is 360-664-3631.

1. Levy assessed valuations include property valuations plus the greater of one‑half timber assessed valuations (1/2 TAV) or 80 percent of the 1983 timber roll. Property valuations have been reduced by the amount of senior citizen exemptions. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)