## Dig Deep

A responsible citizen can use their research and analytical skills to effectively participate in our democratic society. You will demonstrate your skills by making an evidence based argument related to a historical question. Your argument must be based on your analysis of primary and secondary sources.

## **Your Task**

## In a cohesive paper or presentation, you will:

- State a claim related to an historical question and support the claim with evidence.
- □ Provide evidence, using multiple sources from multiple points of view.
- Address a counterclaim: consider the strengths and weaknesses not only of your position, but also of opposing positions.
- Refer explicitly in the paper or presentation to four or more credible sources that provide relevant information:
  - Cite your sources when you draw information from them: for instance, when you summarize, paraphrase, or quote, and when you refer to facts, figures, and ideas.
  - Provide complete publication information for each source in your bibliography or list of works cited.

## Dig Deep Rubric

| Score          | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1   |
|----------------|--|---|---|---|
| Claim          | I developed a historical question: I both stated a claim regarding the question, and stated why studying this question helps us to understand current issues and events. | I developed a historical question: I stated a claim regarding the question.   | I developed a historical question, but without stating a position.  | I described events or<br>presented ideas about<br>how people lived<br>during a time period.                                 |
| Evidence       | I provided one or more reasons for the claim, supported by evidence, including an explanation of how three or more primary sources support the reason(s).                | I provided one or more reasons for the claim, supported by evidence, including an explanation of how two primary sources support the reason(s). | I provided one or more reasons for the claim, supported by evidence, including an explanation of how one primary source supports the reason(s). | I provided reason(s) for<br>the claim, but no<br>supporting evidence.   |
| Interpretation | I provided evidence for the claim, using two or more social science perspectives*.   | I provided evidence for<br>the claim, using one social<br>science perspective*.   | I provided evidence for<br>the claim, but I used<br>no specific social<br>science perspectives*.  | I described a historical event, but I did not take a position.  |
| Sources        | I referred explicitly in the paper or presentation to four or more credible sources that provide relevant information.   | I referred explicitly in the paper or presentation to three credible sources that provide relevant information.                                 | I referred explicitly in<br>the paper or presenta-<br>tion to two credible<br>sources that provide<br>relevant information.                     | I referred explicitly in<br>the paper or present-<br>ation to one credible<br>source that provides<br>relevant information. |
| Citations      | I properly cited sources within the paper or presentation and listed them in the bibliography, using a specific format.  | I adequately cited sources within the paper or presentation and listed them in the bibliography.  | I minimally cited sources within the paper or presentation and listed them in the bibliography.   | I incorrectly cited sources within the paper, presentation, and bibliography.   |

No Score (NS) is given if the work is unintelligible; in a language other than English; off topic; off purpose; or copied.

<sup>\*</sup>Social science perspectives are the lens of the social studies disciplines. Examples include geographic, economic, political, and cultural perspectives.